## Measure and Integration: Solution Quiz 2015-16

1. Consider the measure space  $([0,1), \mathcal{B}([0,1)), \lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}([0,1))$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ algebra restricted to [0,1) and  $\lambda$  is the restriction of Lebesgue measure on [0,1). Define the transformation  $T: [0,1) \to [0,1)$  given by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 3x & 0 \le x < 1/3, \\ \frac{3}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}, & 1/3 \le x < 1. \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that T is  $\mathcal{B}([0,1))/\mathcal{B}([0,1))$  measurable, and determine the image measure  $T(\lambda) = \lambda \circ T^{-1}$ . (1 pt.)
- (b) Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{A \in \mathcal{B}([0,1)) : \lambda(T^{-1}A\Delta A) = 0\}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. (Note that  $T^{-1}A\Delta A = (T^{-1}A \setminus A) \cup (A \setminus T^{-1}A)$ ). (1 pt.)
- (c) Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  satisfies the property that  $T^{-1}(A) = A$  and  $0 < \lambda(A) < 1$ . Define  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  on  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  by

$$\mu_1(B) = \frac{\lambda(A \cap B)}{\lambda(A)}$$
, and  $\mu_2(B) = \frac{\lambda(A^c \cap B)}{\lambda(A^c)}$ .

Show that  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are measures on  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  satisfying

(i) T(μ<sub>i</sub>) = μ<sub>i</sub>, i = 1, 2,
(ii) λ = αμ<sub>1</sub> + (1 − α)μ<sub>2</sub> for an appropriate 0 < α < 1.</li>
(1 pt.)

**Solution(a)**: To show T is  $\mathcal{B}([0,1))/\mathcal{B}([0,1))$  measurable, it is enough to consider inverse images of intervals of the form  $[a,b] \subset [0,1)$ . Now,

$$T^{-1}([a,b)) = [\frac{a}{3}, \frac{b}{3}) \cup [\frac{2a+1}{3}, \frac{2b+1}{3}) \in \mathcal{B}([0,1)).$$

Thus, T is measurable.

We claim that  $T(\lambda) = \lambda$ . To prove this, we use Theorem 5.7. Notice that  $\mathcal{B}([0,1))$  is generated by the collection  $\mathcal{G} = \{[a,b) : 0 \leq a \leq b < 1\}$  which is closed under finite intersections. Now,

$$T(\lambda)([a,b)) = \lambda(T^{-1}([a,b))) = \lambda([\frac{a}{3}, \frac{b}{3})) + \lambda([\frac{2a+1}{3}, \frac{2b+1}{3})) = b - a = \lambda([a,b)).$$

Since the constant sequence ([0,1)) is exhausting, belongs to  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\lambda([0,1)) = T(\lambda([0,1)) = 1 < \infty$ , we have by Theorem 5.7 that  $T(\lambda) = \lambda$ .

**Solution(b)**: We check the three conditions for a collection of sets to be a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Firstly, the empty set  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{B}([0,1))$  and  $T^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ , hence  $\lambda(T^{-1}\emptyset\Delta\emptyset) = \lambda(\emptyset) = 0$ , so  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$ . Secondly, Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\lambda(T^{-1}A\Delta A) = 0$ . Since

$$\lambda(T^{-1}A^c\Delta A^c) = \lambda(T^{-1}A\Delta A) = 0,$$

and  $A^c \in \mathcal{B}([0,1))$ , we have  $A^c \in \mathcal{C}$ . Thirdly, let  $(A_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $A_n \in \mathcal{B}([0,1))$  and  $\lambda(T^{-1}A_n\Delta A_n) = 0$  for each n. Since  $\mathcal{B}([0,1))$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, we have  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{B}([0,1))$ , and

$$T^{-1}(\bigcup_{n} A_{n}) = \bigcup_{n} T^{-1}A_{n} = \bigcup_{n} A_{n}.$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$T^{-1}(\bigcup_{n} A_{n})\Delta \bigcup_{m} A_{m} \subseteq \bigcup_{n} (T^{-1}A_{n}\Delta A_{n}).$$

By  $\sigma$ -subadditivity of  $\lambda$ , we have

$$\lambda \Big( T^{-1}(\bigcup_{m} A_{m}) \Delta \bigcup_{n} A_{n} \Big) \leq \sum_{n} \lambda \Big( T^{-1}A_{n} \Delta A_{n} \Big) = 0.$$

Thus,  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{C}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Solution (c)**: First note that  $0 < \lambda(A^c) < 1$  and  $T^{-1}(A^c) = A^c$ . The proofs that  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are measures are similar, so we only prove that  $\mu_1$  is a measure. First note that

$$\mu_1(\emptyset) = \frac{\lambda(A \cap \emptyset)}{\lambda(A)} = \frac{\lambda(\emptyset)}{\lambda(A)} = 0$$

Suppose  $(A_i)$  is a pairwise disjoint sequence in  $\mathcal{B}([0,1))$ . Then

$$\mu_1(\bigcup_i A_i) = \frac{\lambda(A \cap \bigcup_i A_i)}{\lambda(A)} = \sum_i \frac{\lambda(A \cap A_i)}{\lambda(A)} = \sum_i \mu_1(A_i).$$

Hence,  $\mu_1$  is a measure. A similar proof shows that  $\mu_2$  is a measure. We now show (i). Firstly, since  $T^{-1}(A) = A$  and  $T^{-1}(A^c) = A^c$  we have by (a),

$$\lambda(A \cap T^{-1}(B)) = \lambda(T^{-1}(A) \cap T^{-1}(B)) = \lambda(T^{-1}(A \cap B) = \lambda(A \cap B),$$

and

$$\lambda(A^{c} \cap T^{-1}(B)) = \lambda(T^{-1}(A^{c}) \cap T^{-1}(B)) = \lambda(T^{-1}(A^{c} \cap B) = \lambda(A^{c} \cap B),$$

for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1))$ . Thus,

$$T(\mu_1)(B) = \mu_1(T^{-1}(B)) = \frac{\lambda(A \cap T^{-1}(B))}{\lambda(A)} = \frac{\lambda(A \cap B)}{\lambda(A)} = \mu_1(B),$$

and

$$T(\mu_2)(B) = \mu_2(T^{-1}(B)) = \frac{\lambda(A^c \cap T^{-1}(B))}{\lambda(A^c)} = \frac{\lambda(A^c \cap B)}{\lambda(A^c)} = \mu_2(B).$$

To prove (ii), we notice that for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1))$ ,

$$\lambda(B) = \lambda(A \cap B) + \lambda(A^c \cap B) = \lambda(A)\mu_1(B) + \lambda(A^c)\mu_2(B).$$

Since  $\lambda(A^c) = 1 - \lambda(A)$ , the result follows with  $\alpha = \lambda(A)$ .

- 2. Consider the measure space  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\lambda$  is Lebesgue measure. Define f on  $\mathbb{R}$  by  $f(x) = 2x\mathbf{1}_{[0,1)}(x)$ .
  - (a) Show that f is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable. (1 pt.)
  - (b) Find a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $\mathcal{E}^+$  such that  $f_n \nearrow f$ . (1 pt.)
  - (c) Determine the value of  $\int f d\mu$  using only the material of Chapter 9. (1 pt.)
  - (d) Let  $C = \sigma(\{\{x\} : x \in [0, 1)\})$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \{A \subseteq [0, 2) : A \text{ is countable or } A^c \text{ is countable}\}.$ Show that f is  $C/\mathcal{A}$  measurable and  $C = \mathcal{A} \cap [0, 1)$ . (Here we are seeing f as a function defined on [0, 1)) (1 pt.)

**Solution(a)**: Note that the function g(x) = 2x is continuous and hence  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable. Also  $[0,1) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , hence  $\mathbf{1}_{[0,1)}$  is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable. Finally f is the product of two measurable functions, and therefore f is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable.

**Solution(b)**: Let  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \frac{2k}{2^n} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{[k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n)}, \ n \ge 1.$$

Since  $[k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $f_n \in \mathcal{E}^+$ . We now show that  $f_n$  increases to f. For  $x \notin [0,1)$ , i.e have  $f_n(x) = f_{n+1}(x) = 0$ . Suppose  $x \in [0,1)$ , then there exists a  $0 \le k \le 2^n - 1$  such that  $x \in [k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n)$ . Since

$$[k/2^{n}, (k+1)/2^{n}) = [2k/2^{n+1}, (2k+1)/2^{n+1}) \cup [(2k+1)/2^{n+1}, (2k+2)/2^{n+1}),$$

we see that  $f_n(x) = \frac{2k}{2^n}$  while  $f_{n+1}(x) \in \{\frac{4k}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{2(2k+1)}{2^{n+1}}\}$  so that  $f_n(x) \leq f_{n+1}(x)$ . For  $x \notin [0,1)$ , we have  $f(x) = f_n(x) = 0$  for all n. For  $x \in [0,1)$ , there exists for each n, an integer  $k_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$  such that  $x \in [k_n/2^n, (k_n + 1)/2^n)$ . Thus,

$$|f(x) - f_n(x)| = |2x - \frac{2k_n}{2^n}| = 2|x - \frac{k_n}{2^n}| < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$$

Since  $f_n$  is an increasing sequence, we have

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = \sup_n f_n(x)$$

**Solution(c)**: Since f is the supremum of measurable functions, by Corollary 8.9 f is measurable. To calculate the integral we apply Beppo-Levi,

$$\int f \, d\lambda = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int f_n \, d\lambda$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n - 1} \frac{2k}{2^n} \lambda([k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n))$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n - 1} \frac{2k}{2^n} \frac{1}{2^n}$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2}{4^n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n - 1} k$$
  
= 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2}{2} \frac{(2^n - 1)2^n}{4^n} = 1.$$

**Solution(d)**: First note that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , and set  $B = \{a/2 : a \in A\}$ . Then  $f^{-1}(A) = B$ . If A is countable, then B is countable and can be written as a countable union of the form  $B = \bigcup_{x \in B} \{x\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{C}$  we have  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $f^{-1}(A) = B \in \mathcal{C}$ . Similarly if  $A^c$  is countable, then  $B^c = \{a/2 : a \in A^c\}$  is countable and can be written as a countable union of the form  $B^c = \bigcup_{x \in B^c} \{x\}$ , hence  $A^c \in \mathcal{C}$ , and  $B^c \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $B^c = f^{-1}(A^c) = (f^{-1}(A))^c$ , we see that  $B = f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{C}$ . Thus, f is  $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{A}$  measurable. Now a similar argument as above shows that if  $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap [0, 1)$  is countable then  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , and if  $A^c$  is countable then  $A^c \in \mathcal{C}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{A} \cap [0, 1) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ . Finally, notice that the generators of  $\mathcal{C}$  are elements of  $\mathcal{A} \cap [0, 1)$ , hence  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap [0, 1)$  implying equality.

- 3. Consider the measure space  $([0, 1]\mathcal{B}([0, 1]), \lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the restriction of Lebesgue measure to [0, 1], and let  $A \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  be such that  $\lambda(A) = 1/2$ . Consider the real function f defined on [0, 1] by  $f(x) = \lambda (A \cap [0, x])$ .
  - (a) Show that for any  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le |x - y|.$$

Conclude that f is  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  measurable. (1 pt.)

(b) Show that for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ , there exists  $A_{\alpha} \subset A$  with  $A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1))$  and  $\lambda(A_{\alpha}) = \alpha$ . (1 pt.)

**Solution(a)**: Let  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  and assume with no loss of generality that y < x. Then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = f(x) - f(y) = \lambda \Big( A \cap [y, x] \Big) \le \lambda([y, x]) = x - y = |x - y|.$$

The above shows that f is a continuous function on [0, 1], and hence Borel measurable.

**Solution(b)**: Part (a) shows that f is a continuous function on [0, 1] with f(0) = 0, and  $f(1) = \lambda(A) = 1/2$ . Hence by the Intermediate Value Theorem, for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $x_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $f(x_0) = \alpha$ . Set  $A_\alpha = A \cap [0, x_0]$ , then  $A_\alpha \subset A$  and  $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1] \text{ satisfies } \lambda(A_\alpha) = f(x_0) = \alpha$ .